

Hello, Louie Gohmert here. Wanted to talk to you about the Marxist crime wave going on around this country. This is serious stuff. Had Glenn Grothman come up to me on the House floor just a while ago and say, "You know, we're really close to losing this country." I said, "We are Glenn, we really are." This is a dangerous time. You know some look and say, "Well it seems like it's mainly the big cities run by Democrats." Well, it doesn't matter. When violence like that starts erupting nationwide then, we're in jeopardy, we're in danger. And the fact that maybe it's the hitting the big cities that are controlled by all Democrats and have been for many years, that's not of much consequences if they end up taking the whole country.

And if you look back and look, I've studied history my whole life. I've loved it, I majored in it. I've never stopped reading and learning history, find out new things all the time. But if you look back at the Bolshevik Revolution in 1917, there was a new government in place, and it wasn't doing very well it was trying to get its feet on the ground. But the Marxists there thought, if we can take advantage of things being kind of uneasy, and maybe some violence breaking out here and there, we can take advantage of that. And we can have a revolution and hit them while they're not very strong. But there was a military across the river there at St. Petersburg and if they had come across they could have crushed it, that would have been the end of the Bolshevik Revolution. But Trotsky went across there and as I understood he stood on a card and gave this incredible speech, and he encouraged the military not to go across the river and stop the insurrection and, ultimately, military joined in, and this little bitty violent effort that the Bolsheviks were having became the October Revolution.

Those things have been repeated throughout history different places and there is a Marxist playbook and they look for a trigger of something legitimate, which the horrendous death of George Floyd was. But then they hijack it and they turn it into violence all over the place and encourage people to want anarchy. What do you think defunding the police? You know, getting rid of the military? All those things. They create anarchy, pure and simple.

And then they know, they're organized, they would step in and immediately fill the void. Put down the violence, takeover. That's where this all goes, if it's not handled appropriately. And it breaks my heart here in Washington that we have people who are more interested in doing everything they can to keep Donald Trump from being reelected. That they think, "Gee, if there's violence still going on, if we can keep stoking it and encouraging, you know, not put that down, let these protesters just do their thing, then maybe the economy, if we can keep that from reopening fully so people are out of work, then people won't reelect Donald Trump. For heaven's sake, this country's at risk. Let's come together.

And it was really nauseating to sit on the House floor and listen to Democratic leaders talk about how they did reach across the aisle. Garbage. We wanted to help them fix the problems that created the George Floyd situation. But you've got police unions and sometimes they defend police officers that are good officers, maybe whistleblowers. But, invariably when there are bad cops that ought to be thrown out, fired, all the other good police they know who they are, they don't want to work with them. The unions come in and defend them, and they end up staying on the force and causing problems.

One of the things in the Democrats bill, of course, was to eliminate the immunity that law officers have. You know, they're immune from being sued unless they break the law, you know they violate civil rights or break the law that's one thing, but otherwise they're immune from lawsuits and I was, I was as well, when I was a felony judge. Otherwise, everybody I ever sent to prison would have filed a lawsuit. Some did, they were thrown out. But the unions would be okay with immunity, some of them; some of them

had come out said "Do not do this, it'll hurt our members." Thank you, unions, for doing that, but there are others that say, "Hey, it's kind of like the teacher situation, if they can be sued, we can make hundreds of millions of dollars, having them buy our liability insurance." So that's going on.

And there is organized group that want to tear this country down. How do we know? because we got an article, lots of them on the subject. BLM leader: "If this country doesn't give us what we want. We will burn down this system." Well this is the best, fairest system. We need to constantly work to get it fairer, and fairer. As my friend Ron Maxwell, moviemaker, says, "Our history is one of liberation." You know we finally got rid of that atrocious thing called slavery and we have continued to get better and better and now we're getting closer and closer to eliminating racism and moving together as one and they want to burn it down. That's right out of the Marxist playbook.

How do you stop it? Well, this is a copy. I like to blow things up but it's the 18 US Code Chapter 96, Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. Now, we've seen Project Veritas had video and audio of Democrat leaders, saying, you know, we funded the violence back during the Trump campaign in 2016. We paid people to go up to start trouble, start fights, and that way we could turn around and say look at the Trump campaign, they're violent, we don't need a president that stokes that kind of violence, when the Democrats were the ones that stirred it up and that was going on across state lines; they were funding it from across state lines.

And I went up to Jeff Sessions in January of 2017 and I said, "Jeff there's a way to deal with this organized effort to create violence in different places in the country and is called RICO (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization) and he said it was a good idea. I don't know why but they never did anything about it. And we need the Justice Department to go ahead. This gives you the teeth to go after it. And the first thing it says is, basically it's a crime to... you have to prove a pattern of racketeering activity, then you look at the definitions as in 18 US Code 1961, and racketeering activity. You got two long pages of racketeering activity. But one of those indicates that 18 USC 2332(b), acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries, and if you know these Antifa, BLM, they...

How can BLM be white people, bourgeois, spoiled brats going up to African American policeman spitting at them, cussing them, saying all these horrendous things, and they're saying that black lives matter? When white bourgeois people are up there, belittling and trying to really terrorize verbally these policemen, they've held their own very well, but that's what they're trying to do, but it's not about BLM. It's about creating chaos, so that as this one leader says, "They can tear the system down." Another, Shaun King, says they want to burn, get rid of stained glass, get rid of Jesus, because he's depicted as being white. I love the song that was written many years ago, I love the James Taylor version I know he's a Democrat, but a beautiful song you know some children sing talking about Jesus, you know, whites seem white, blacks seem black, you know, different Asians seem looking more Asian, its beautiful song but... and it's okay for people, as long as you think about Jesus, as I do, Lord of the land.

But that's not BLM, and as Dostoevsky said, and Whittaker Chambers quoted him: "Socialism, the problem with socialism is not economic, the problem with socialism is atheism."

How do you stop these people? Well I'm telling you, here's the playbook. You follow the law, but you've got to have somebody, Christopher Wray's not going to do it. He's more interested, he's out there now saying he's cleaned up all the problems. No, he's covered up problems, but he hasn't dealt with them, and he hadn't properly punished people that were in the FBI, and so the problems are going to go on as

long as Christopher Wray's there and I don't think the country's really safe from abuses in the FBI until he's gone. So, Bill Barr needs somebody besides Christopher Wray. He is coming under attack and as my Chief of Staff constantly tells me, "You know you're over the target when you're picking up flack" and Bill Barr is over the target, and they realize it, and I think he can use RICO, and go after these people but he's got to have FBI that's working. So, I've told the press. But anyway, Wray needs to go. Let's get somebody in that's more interested in enforcing the law in America than simply trying to win back reputation by covering up the wrongdoing in the past. So, Bill Barr I know he's taking a lot of criticism and a lot of Americans think "Well gee, there may be something wrong because there's so much being said about Bill Barr being evil." They said the same thing about Donald Trump, the Russia hoax, Ukrainian hoax. You know, all these firing OSHA. They're saying anything they can to defeat Donald Trump. When that man, I mean he used to support Democrats, and I'm wondering if that may be not one of the problems they have with him.

So like Clarence Thomas. I love that man. Brilliant. As he's pointed out, they didn't want me to leave the plantation. You know, if a man thinks for himself, then you got to get rid of him if he's black. Well, those lives matter. Absolutely matter, and they shouldn't be told to shut up because they don't think like they're told to think by the Democrats. So, we have a law in place that will work to stop the Marxist crime wave that is sweeping the nation. And I couldn't believe one of the liberal, extremely liberal, reporters earlier today was saying, "But in the HEROES Act it was only 100 million dollars for law enforcement and so that's not much. It's only like 3% or so." Well, he was a liberal. And the point he doesn't understand is local law enforcement is funded by local law enforcement, the Feds provide some money for certain things, and the state provides money for state-funded law enforcement, but under the 10th Amendment, that law enforcement is mainly reserved to the states and the people.

But how ironic. They want us, liberals like that reporter, they want us to fund more money, while they're out there in protests and riots, saying "defund the police" and "defund the military," get rid of both of them. And then you want to complain because the Feds aren't paying enough for local law enforcement.

And by the way, I just want to finish by pointing out. I've tried cases in front of unfair, arrogant, judges before I went on the bench as a felony judge, and I worked very hard to make sure I never treated people like that in my court.

But the kind of contempt, capriciousness, and disregard for fairness and following the rules that I've experienced in the Judiciary Committee. We're talking about a committee, Daniel Webster was on that committee, I think he chaired that committee at one time. And it is an embarrassment, the failure to follow the rules. So how ironic, when they're letting their witnesses, go over two, three minutes. And I'm pointing out by just tapping a little bit to make the point the chairman should have gavelled them down like he does all of us Republicans, "Boom." And the Democrats said, "Let's go get the law and run this guy out of here."

Oh, so you're okay with people tearing down statues, rioting, looting, burning, you know minority communities down. But when somebody, a Republican on a committee, the Judiciary Committee, wants to push the chairman into following the rules fairly, then you want him arrested, taken out of here. Really? Good grief.

If the Democrats keep the House next year, we're in big trouble. And that's not about politics, it's about policy. I would welcome the Democrats working with us. Oh, they say that they did? No they didn't.

And they wouldn't even allow me to amend the Emmett Till legislation that was part of the bill that they're bringing to the floor today. Because 10 years is not long enough for a maximum sentence for somebody involved in lynching. I would like to see the death penalty as part of it, but I even offered to take that out because that's the only complaint he had about my amendment, "It's barbarous, it's barbarous, the death penalty is barbarous." So, I went "Okay, I'd really like to do this as a tribute to Bobby Rush, and especially that 14-year-old African American back in 1955 Emmett Till, who was kidnapped, brutalized, and killed," and the Democrats are all about symbolism. Oh well let's say we pass something, that 10-year maximum sentence. I think it ought to be a maximum death penalty. But I offered to take the death penalty off: amend it and they wouldn't even let me do that, they objected. So, so much for their symbolism. That's not going to save the country. What we need is people standing up, enforcing the law, and electing people who will enforce the law. And that's not fake news, that's not fake news at all.

That's the real story.